IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

LIONRA TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED,

Plaintiff,

v.

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:22-cv-305-JRG-RSP

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

<u>DEFENDANT CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.'S STIPULATION REGARDING INVALIDITY</u> <u>CONTENTIONS FOR U.S. PATENT NO. 7,916,630</u>

On February 7, 2023, Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc. filed petition no. IPR2023-00537 with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board ("PTAB"), requesting *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 7,916,630 ("the '630 patent").

The petition asserts one ground of invalidity: (1) claims 1–12, 14, and 15 would have been obvious over Silva et al., "DIP: Distributed Diagnosis Protocol," *Microprocessing and Microporgramming* 38 (1993) ("Silva").

Defendant stipulates that if the PTAB institutes IPR on the ground presented in the petition, Petitioners will not pursue in the co-pending district court litigation the specific ground asserted in the petition, or any other ground that was raised or could have been reasonably raised in an IPR (*i.e.*, grounds that could have been raised under §§ 102 or 103 on the basis of prior art patent or printed publications). *See Sotera Wireless, Inc. v. Masimo Corp.*, No. IPR2020-00109, Paper 12 at 18–19 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 1, 2020).

In so stipulating, Cisco seeks to avoid a situation in which multiple proceedings address the validity of the challenged claims based on the same grounds. Instead, through this Stipulation, Cisco expresses its preference that only the PTAB address the grounds of invalidity raised or that could have been reasonably raised in the IPR for these claims.

Notwithstanding this Stipulation, Cisco reserves the right to assert in this litigation any grounds or claims on which the Board declines to institute *inter partes* review. Cisco also reserves the right to assert grounds other than the grounds raised or could have been reasonably raised in the IPR for these claims.

Dated: August 2, 2023 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Brian Rosenthal

Brian A. Rosenthal (lead attorney) brosenthal@gibsondunn.com Katherine Dominguez kdominguez@gibsondunn.com

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

200 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10166-0193 Telephone: 212.351.4000 Facsimile: 212.351.4035

Stuart M. Rosenberg

srosenberg@gibsondunn.com

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

1881 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1211 Telephone: 650.849.5300 Facsimile: 650.849.5333

Albert Suarez IV asuarez@gibsondunn.com Texas State Bar No. 24113094 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

Dallas, TX 75201-6912 Telephone: 214.698.3360 Facsimile: 214.571.2907

2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 2100

Melissa R. Smith melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com Texas State Bar No. 24001351 GILLAM & SMITH, LLP 303 South Washington Avenue Marshall, Texas 75670

Telephone: 903.934.8450 Facsimile: 903.934.9257

Attorneys for Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 2, 2023, a copy of the foregoing was served electronically to counsel of record.

/s/ Brian A. Rosenthal

Brian A. Rosenthal